

## The Age of the Wordless Logo

**Author :** Kalle Oskari Mattila

**Date :** September 18, 2016



**“Consumers are jaded about advertising in a way they weren’t several decades ago.”**

**MasterCard** unveiled its new logo earlier this summer, and as far as rebrandings go, the tweaks were subtle: The company kept its overlapping red and yellow balls intact, and moved its name, which was previously front and center, to beneath the balls, while making the text lowercase. With increasing frequency, [MasterCard said](#), it would do away with using its name in the logo entirely. The focus would be more on the symbol than the words.

**MasterCard’s** move reflects a wider shift among some of the most widely recognized global brands to de-emphasize the text in their logos, or remove it altogether. Nike was among the first brands to do this, in 1995, when its swoosh began to appear with the words “Just Do It,” and then without any words at all. **Apple, McDonald’s**, and other brands followed a similar trajectory, gravitating toward entirely textless symbols after a period of transition with logos that had taglines like “Think Different” or “I’m lovin’ it.”

---

## The evolution of MasterCard's logo (MasterCard / The Atlantic)

---

This shift is ostensibly in accordance with [a more streamlined approach](#) to design, as well as certain features of the modern economy: Symbols [work better](#) than long names on computer screens and apps, and they allow for greater flexibility if a company wants to dabble in multiple industries at once. For instance, names like **Starbucks** Coffee and **MasterCard** are [tied](#) to specific products in ways that symbols are not, which can be a disadvantage at a time when it's perfectly plausible for a company that makes phones to make cars too. Additionally, visual cues can travel across borders [more easily](#), because they eliminate the need for translation.

But perhaps the most powerful impetus for these slimmed-down logos is that it's increasingly more difficult to reach buyers when so many of them are skeptical of big corporations. [A recent survey](#) by the public-relations firm **Cohn & Wolfe** found that four-fifths of global consumers now consider brands neither open nor honest. "Consumers are jaded about advertising in a way they weren't several decades ago," says Adam Alter, an associate professor of marketing at New York University's Stern School of Business, via email. "It is harder to appeal to them than it used to be, and they tend to see through overt marketing pitches." That has in turn led to a new arsenal of branding tactics. "Companies have had to learn subtlety," Alter says.

---

## The evolution of McDonald's logos (McDonald's / The Atlantic)

---

Among that arsenal is what's called "debranding" or "decorporatizing"—a strategy based on paring down that can only be deployed by the most identifiable of brands. Some marketers believe that debranding can make global brands appear ["less corporate" and "more personal"](#) to consumers. Nameless logos can evoke more personal and immediate reactions—which is important in a media environment with plenty of possible distractions and diversions. "Researchers have demonstrated that the use of visual imagery (vs. verbal imagery) in advertising increases consumers' attention and challenges them to interpret and understand the ad's message in a more active manner than words do," wrote Jill J. Avery, a senior lecturer at Harvard Business School, in an email. "This process of interpretation or 'elaboration' produces a higher quantity of mental images and, in many cases, a more personalized understanding of the ad's message." In short, it is easier to make associations based on two

bright, primary-colored balls than it is with the word **MasterCard**.

---

Cups with Starbucks's logos from (from left) 1971, 1987, 1992, and 2011 (Starbucks)

---

The need to get personal and friendly is particularly germane to young people, the target market of many global consumer-product enterprises. It's likely that this factored into **MasterCard's** decision to rebrand. As an increasing number of consumers—especially younger ones—prefer to make transactions on their phones rather than using cash or cards, and [shy away from racking up credit-card debt](#), one worry for **MasterCard's** investors is that the company hasn't fully broken into the mobile-payments market yet. By contrast, PayPal—another brand that carried out a visual refresh a few years ago in which it [de-emphasized its name on its logo](#)—enjoys a substantial Millennial user base, a good portion of which is loyal to Venmo, a popular mobile-payment app it acquired.

The benefits of debranding can be huge. One of the most successful executions of it has been the “Share a **Coke**” promotion, for which **Coca-Cola** replaced its name on bottles with people's first names, like Sarah and David, and other everyday monikers, like Mom and Dad. The campaign [increased Coca-Cola's U.S. sales by more than 2 percent](#) and, in doing so, helped reverse more than 10 years of decline in Coke consumption in the U.S.

---

## The evolution of Shell's logo (Shell)

---

The **advertising** industry likes to call this type of marketing “authentic.” **Cohn & Wolfe** compiles an annual list of the “world’s most authentic brands,” drawing on surveys of nearly 12,000 consumers in 14 countries. This year’s Top 20 [includes](#) **Paypal** and **MasterCard**, as well as **Coca-Cola**. Authenticity, of course, is a funny thing when it comes to marketing: Asserting one’s authenticity feels, well, inauthentic. At a time when consumers are placing more and more importance on companies that feel genuine rather than corporate, it makes all too much sense that marketers would start trying to make it more difficult to distinguish between the two.

*This article first appeared in [www.theatlantic.com](http://www.theatlantic.com)*